



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Substitute for form 1449/PTO				<i>Complete if Known</i>	
				Application Number	10/825,365
				Filing Date	April 16, 2004
				First Named Inventor	KIM, Chang Yeon
				Art Unit	2609
				Examiner Name	TSEGAYE, Daniel
Sheet	1	of	1	Attorney Docket Number	8733.1032.00

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Document Number	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		Number-Kind Code ² (if known)			
AA					

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Foreign Patent Document	Publication Date MM-DD-YYYY	Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document	Pages, Columns, Lines, Where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear
		Country Code ³ -Number ⁴ -Kind Code ⁵ (if known)			
BA	WO 03/023752		03/20/2003	Matsushita Electric Ind Co. (abstract only)	

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant. ¹ Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ² See Kinds Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov or MPEP 901.04. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ⁴ For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the application number of the patent document. ⁵ Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS					
Examiner Initials*	Cite No. ¹	Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city and/or country where published.			T ²
	CA				

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²Applicant is to place a check mark here if English language Translation is attached.

Examiner Signature		Date Considered	
--------------------	--	-----------------	--



THE STATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE OF CHINA

Address: Receiving Department of The State Intellectual Property Office (SIPO)
6 Xitucheng Road, Haidian, Beijing
Postal Code: 100088

Applicant	LG Phillips LCD Co., Ltd.	Date of Issue:
Patent Agent	Beijing Sanyou Intellectual Property Agency Ltd.	May 18, 2007
Filing No. of Patent Appln.	200410045549.9	
Title of Invention	Electro-luminescence display device and driving method thereof	

FIRST NOTIFICATION OF OFFICE ACTION

1. The examiner has made the examination on the above cited patent application in accordance with the provision in paragraph 1, Article 35 of the PRC Patent Law.
 The SIPO uses its own discretion to make a substantive examination for the above cited patent application in accordance the provision in paragraph 2, Article 35 of the Chinese Patent Law.
2. The applicant designated the filing date of Dec. 30,2003 in the Patent Office of KR as the priority date;
 in the Patent Office of _____ as the priority date;
 in the Patent Office of _____ as the priority date;
 in the Patent Office of _____ as the priority date;
 in the Patent Office of _____ as the priority date;
 the certified copy of Priority Document(s) has (have) been submitted.
 no certified copy of priority document has been submitted heretofore and, according to the provision of Article 30 of the PRC Patent Law, it is deemed that no priority right has been requested.
3. The applicant submitted the amended text on _____ and _____, after examination, in which
 is not in conformity with the provision of Article 33 of the PRC Patent Law;
 is not in conformity with the provision of Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
4. Examination is made based on the original filing documents.
 Examination is made based on the following documents:

Description	page(s) _____ of the original filing documents submitted on the filing date
	Page(s) _____ on _____ pages _____ on _____
Claims	page(s) _____ of original filing documents submitted on the filing date
	Page(s) _____ on _____ pages _____ on _____
Drawings	page(s) _____ of original filing documents submitted on the filing date
	Page(s) _____ on _____, pages _____ on _____
Abstract	<input type="checkbox"/> submitted on the filing date <input type="checkbox"/> submitted on _____
Drawing of abstract	<input type="checkbox"/> submitted on the filing date <input type="checkbox"/> submitted on _____
5. The notification is made without conducting the search for the patentability.
 The notification is made under the search for the patentability.
 The following references have been cited in this notification (their serial numbers will be referred to in the following procedure):

Serial Number	Number or Title of Reference Material	Publication Date (or Filing Date of A Conflict Patent Application)
1	WO03/023752A1	Mar. 20, 2003
2		
3		
4		

6. The conclusion of the examination:

In regard to the description:

- The subject matter of the present application is not accepted based on the Article 5 of the Chinese Patent Law.
- The description is not in conformity with the provision of paragraph 3, Article 26 of Chinese Patent Law.
- The description is not in conformity with the provision of Article 33 of Chinese Patent Law.
- The presentation of the description is not in conformity with the provision of Rule 18 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.

In regard to the Claims:

- Claims 1-3, 8, 13, 15, 17-19 can not be allowed owing to lack of novelty based on the provision of paragraph 2, Article 22 of Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims 4-7, 14, 16, 20-22 can not be allowed owing to lack of inventiveness based on the provision of paragraph 3, Article 22 of Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed owing to lack of practical applicability based on the provision of paragraph 4, Article 22 of Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ can not be allowed because they fall in the scope of the unpatentable subject matters provided by Article 25 of the Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed because they are not in conformity with the provision of paragraph 4, Article 26 of Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of paragraph 1, Article 31 of Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of Article 33 of Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ can not be allowed because they claim an invention(s) that does not belong to the invention defined by the provision of paragraph 1, Rule 2 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of paragraph 1, Rule 13 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of Rules 20 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of Rules 21 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of Rules 22 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.
- Claims _____ cannot be allowed based on the provision of Rules 23 of the Implementing Regulations of the Chinese Patent Law.

The explanation of the conclusion is given in the attachment sheet in details

7. According to the above conclusion, the examiner holds that

- the applicant should amend the application documents based on the requirement specified in the Attachment Sheet.
- the applicant should state the reason on which the application can be accepted and amend the part that is indicated not to be in conformity with the requirement, otherwise the application will be rejected.
- No subject matter in the application is patentable, the said application will be rejected if the applicant does not make a statement or the statement is not convincing.
- _____

8. The applicant's attention is drawn to the fact that

- (1) in accordance with the provision of Article 37 of the Chinese Patent Law, the applicant shall submit the observations within FOUR months from the date of receiving this notification. If the applicant, without any justified reason, fails to reply within the time limit, the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
- (2) the amendment that the applicant makes shall be in conformity with the provision of Article 33 of the Chinese Patent Law. The amended text shall be furnished in duplicate. The formality of the amendment should be in conformity with the relative provisions of the Guidebook for Examination.
- (3) any response and/or amended specification must be furnished by mail or by hand to the Receiving Department of the Chinese Patent Office. Any documents that are not furnished to the Receiving Department do not have legal effect.
- (4) the applicant and/or his attorney should not go to the PRC Patent Office to meet the examiner if no appointment is made.

9. The text of the notification embraces 6 page(s), along with the enclosures herein:
 1 copy of the cited references are enclosed in pages of 35.